UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

LINITED STATES OF AMERICA

entiled strates of rankacia,	
Plaintiff,	
,	CASE NO. 06-CR-20278-BC
V	
	DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID M. LAWSON
LESLIE F. WEAVER,	MAGISTRATE JUDGE CHARLES BINDER
Defendant.	

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to an Order of Reference from United States District Judge David Lawson and E. D. Mich. LR 72.1(a)(2)(T), and with the consent of the parties, this Magistrate Judge presided over a Rule 11 guilty plea proceeding in this case on June 16, 2006. For the reasons set forth in the transcript of that proceeding, I suggest that Defendant was competent to enter a plea, that Defendant's guilty plea was knowingly, freely and voluntarily made, and that it was not influenced by any force, threats or promises of any kind other than those expressed in the signed Rule 11 Plea Agreement which has been filed.

I further suggest that the elements of the offense have been made out by Defendant's own statements and corroborated by the factual basis recitations made by counsel. At the close of these proceedings, all counsel stated that this Magistrate Judge had, to their satisfaction, complied with Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Therefore;

IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Defendant be found competent to plead.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the plea of guilty, tendered by the Defendant to the charges set forth in the Information, be accepted.

2:06-cr-20278-DML-CEB Doc # 12 Filed 06/20/06 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 36

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Rule 11 Plea Agreement tendered by the

parties be taken under advisement.

The parties to this action may object to and seek review of this Report and Recommendation

within ten (10) days of service of a copy hereof as provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Failure

to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of any further right of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474

U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466, 88 L. Ed. 2d 435 (1985); Howard v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 932

F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). The parties are

advised that making some objections, but failing to raise others, will not preserve all the objections

a party may have to this Report and Recommendation. Willis v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.,

931 F.2d 390, 401 (6th Cir. 1991); Smith v. Detroit Fed'n of Teachers Local 231, 829 F.2d 1370,

1373 (6th Cir. 1987). Pursuant to E.D. Mich. LR 72.1(d)(2), a copy of any objections is to be

served upon this Magistrate Judge.

s/ Charles & Binder

CHARLES E. BINDER

Dated: June 20, 2006

United States Magistrate Judge

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this Report and Recommendation was electronically filed this date, electronically served on William Brisbois and Michael Hluchaniuk, and served in the traditional manner

on Honorable David M. Lawson.

Dated: June 20, 2006

s/Mary E. Dobbick

Secretary to Magistrate Judge Binder

2